

Suppl. Table S1. Quality assessment of prospective non-randomized cohort studies using modified Newcastle-Ottawa scale

Study	Selection				Comparability		Outcome		Score
	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the non-exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders	Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur	Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts	
Mumtaz (2009)	*	*	*	*	**	*	—	*	Good
Darweesh (2017)	*	*	*	—	**	*	*	*	Good

Good quality: 3 or 4 stars in selection domain AND 1 or 2 stars in comparability domain AND 2 or 3 stars in outcome/exposure domain

Fair quality: 2 stars in selection domain AND 1 or 2 stars in comparability domain AND 2 or 3 stars in outcome/exposure domain

Poor quality: 0 or 1 star in selection domain OR 0 stars in comparability domain OR 0 or 1 stars in outcome/exposure domain

Suppl. Table S2. Quality assessment of RCTs using Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials

Study	Random sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of personnel	Blinding of outcome assessment	Incomplete outcome data	Selective reporting	Other bias (ITT analysis)
Lee (2009)	Unclear risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias
Nabi (2017)	Unclear risk of bias	Unclear risk of bias	Unclear risk of bias	Unclear risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias	Low risk of bias